## McKinsey Quarterly

co Bondior

# Why good bosses tune in to their people

Robert I. Sutton

Stanford management professor Bob Sutton offers some pointed advice for those at the top of organizations. Know how to project power, he counsels, since those you lead need to believe you have it for it to be effective. And to lock in your team's loyalty, boldly defend their backs.

#### The problem

As the boss, you are the most important person in the organization, and subordinates monitor, magnify, and mimic your every move. You need to stay in tune with this relentless attention and use it to your advantage.

#### Why it matters

Your success and influence as boss depends on correctly reading those with whom you interact most frequently and intensely. Because your leadership style reverberates throughout the organization, ultimately it will bolster or undermine company performance and culture.

#### What you should do about it

The first and most important task is to convince others that you are in charge, otherwise your job will be impossible and your tenure short. Second, boost your subordinates' performance by "watching their backs": making it possible for them to learn, take intelligent risks, and feel pride and dignity along the way. Bosses matter. They matter because more than 95 percent of all people in the workforce have bosses, are bosses, or both. They matter because they set the tone for their followers and organizations. And they matter because many studies show that for more than 75 percent of employees, dealing with their immediate boss is the most stressful part of the job. Lousy bosses can kill you—literally. A 2009 Swedish study tracking 3,122 men for ten years found that those with bad bosses suffered 20 to 40 percent more heart attacks than those with good bosses.

Bosses matter to everyone they oversee, but they matter most to those just beneath them in the pecking order: the people they guide at close range, who constantly tangle with the boss's virtues, foibles, and quirks. Whether you are the CEO of a Fortune 500 company or the head chef at a restaurant, your success depends on staying in tune with the people you interact with most frequently and intensely.

All bosses matter, but those at the top matter most. Whether or not they know it, their followers monitor, magnify, and often mimic their moves. I worked with a large company where the CEO did almost all of the talking in meetings, interrupted everyone, and silenced dissenting underlings. His executive vice presidents complained about him behind his back, but when he left the room, the most powerful EVP started acting the very same way. When that EVP left, the next-highest-ranking boss began imitating him in turn.

Robert Sutton is a professor of management science and engineering at Stanford University. This article is adapted from his forthcoming book, *Good Boss, Bad Boss: How to Be the Best... and Learn from the Worst*, to be published by Business Plus in September 2010. The ripple effects of this CEO's style are consistent with findings from peer-reviewed studies showing that senior executives' actions can reverberate throughout organizations, ultimately undermining or bolstering their cultures and performance levels. When CEOs have far more pay and power than their direct reports do, for instance, performance can suffer if their subordinates feel they can't stop them from making and implementing lousy decisions. A few years ago, I did a workshop with a management team struggling with "group dynamics" problems. Team members felt that their boss, a senior vice president, listened poorly and "ran over" others; he called his people "thin-skinned wimps." I asked the team—the senior vice president and five direct reports—to do an exercise. The six of them spent 20 minutes brainstorming potential products and then narrowed their choices to the most feasible, the wildest, and the most likely to fail.

As they brainstormed, I counted the number of comments made by each team member and the number of times each interrupted someone else and was interrupted in turn. The senior vice president contributed about 65 percent of the comments, interrupted others at least 20 times, and was never interrupted. When I had him leave the room, I asked his subordinates to estimate the results, and they did so accurately. Then the senior vice president returned. He recalled making about 25 percent of the comments, interrupting others perhaps 3 times, and being interrupted 3 or 4 times. When I showed him the results and explained that his direct reports had estimated them far more accurately, he was flabbergasted and annoyed.

Being a boss, as this exercise shows, often resembles the role of a high-status primate: your subordinates watch you constantly, so they know more about you than you know about them. Likewise, anthropologists who study chimpanzees, gorillas, and baboons report that followers devote far more attention to their leader than he devotes to them. (Studies of baboon troops show that typical members glance at the dominant male every 20 or 30 seconds.) As Princeton University psychologist Susan Fiske observes, primates—including ourselves—"pay attention to those who control their outcomes."

Linda Hudson, CEO of BAE Systems, got this message after becoming the first female president of General Dynamics. After her first day on the job, a dozen women in her office imitated how she tied her scarf. Hudson realized, "It really was now about me and the context of setting the tone for the organization. That was a lesson I have never forgotten—that as a leader, people are looking at you in a way that you could not have imagined in other roles." Hudson added that such scrutiny and the consequent responsibility is "something that I think about virtually every day."

The best bosses work doggedly to stay in tune with this relentless attention and use it to their advantage. They are self-absorbed, but not for selfish reasons. On the contrary, they know that the success of their people and organizations depends on maintaining an accurate view of how others construe their moods and moves—and responding with rapid, effective adjustments.

That view is invaluable for bosses as they try to carry out their first and most important task: convincing others that they are in charge. Bosses who fail to do this will find their jobs impossible, their lives hell, and their tenures short. Of course, taking charge effectively isn't enough. The best bosses also boost performance by watching their people's backs: making it safe for them to learn, act, and take intelligent risks; shielding them from unnecessary distractions and external idiocy of every stripe; and doing hundreds more little things that help them achieve one small win after another—and feel pride and dignity along the way.

#### **Taking control**

James Meindl's research on "the romance of leadership"<sup>1</sup> shows that leaders get far more credit—and blame—than they deserve, largely because, cognitively, it is easier and more emotionally satisfying to treat leadership as the primary cause of performance than to consider the convoluted and often subtle mishmash of factors that actually determine performance differences. It is especially difficult to resist demonizing the bosses of failing organizations, however irrational that may be. This bias toward glorifying and vilifying individual leaders (and downplaying the role of systems, collective action, and external factors outside management's influence) is especially strong in the United States and many European nations.

Yet the best evidence shows that bosses rarely account for more than 15 percent of the gap between good and bad organizational performance although they often get more than 50 percent of the credit and blame. If you are a boss, this is your lot in life; make the best of it. If you claim that you don't have much influence over what happens to the team or company you lead, your people will lose confidence in you and your superiors will send you packing. Here are four suggestions for magnifying the illusion of control (for more ideas, see the checklist, "Tricks for taking charge," on page 11):

#### Express confidence even if you don't feel it

In 2002, I heard Andy Grove, Intel's legendary CEO (1987–98), interviewed by Harvard University's Clay Christensen, who asked Andy how leaders can act and feel confident despite their doubts. He answered, "Investment decisions or personnel decisions and prioritization don't wait for that picture to be clarified. You have to make them when you have to make them." That's why executives need to use what I call the fakingit-until-you-make-it strategy, which he also touched on: "Part of it is self-discipline, and part of it is deception. And the deception becomes reality. It is deception in the sense that you pump yourself up and put a better face on things than you start off feeling. But after a while, if you act confident, you become more confident."<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> James R. Meindl and Sanford B. Ehrlich, "The romance of leadership and the evaluation of organizational performance," *Academy of Management*, 1987, Volume 30, Number 1, pp. 91–109.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Clayton Christensen interviewed Andrew Grove at a Harvard Business School Conference in Cupertino, California, on October 3, 2002. I attended this session, and the organizers provided me a transcript, which is the source for these quotations from Andy Grove.

Research showing that "belief follows behavior" supports his argument. And confidence is especially crucial for inspiring your followers, because like all emotions, it's contagious—especially when displayed by closely scrutinized bosses.

#### 2. Don't dither

Indecision, delay, and waffling are the hallmarks of a crummy boss; the best ones know that crisp and seemingly quick decisions bolster the illusion (and reality) that they are in charge. As late stage director Frank Hauser said, "You have three weapons: 'Yes,' 'No,' and 'I don't know.' Use them. Don't dither; you can always change your mind later. Nobody minds that. What they do mind is the two minutes of agonizing when all the actor has asked is, 'Do I get up now?'"

#### 3. Get and give credit

A great thing about being the boss is that when your people do good work, you usually get too much of the credit. Smart bosses often use this to their advantage, knowing that people want to work for and do business with winners.

As a boss, you may already use subtle tactics to get credit, such as collaborating with people who are likely to praise you (so that you don't have to brag) and, when you do mention your accomplishments, giving copious credit to others. David Kelley, the modest chairman and founder of design firm IDEO, is a master of the art of giving his people credit. I believe that one reason IDEO became a renowned innovation firm under David's leadership is that he relentlessly thanks others for making him look good, gives them credit when the company does something great, and downplays his contribution—something I have observed him do hundreds of times over the past 15 years.

Indeed, the best bosses routinely give their followers more credit than they probably deserve. And when bosses do this, everyone wins. As the boss, you will get the lion's share of credit because of the romance of leadership. Your immediate team will regard you as truthful. And your modesty and generosity will be admired—especially by outsiders, who will see you as both competent and generous.



#### 4. Blame yourself

In August 2008, I listened on the radio as Maple Leaf Foods CEO Michael McCain made a statement about the deaths and illnesses traced to tainted meats produced by one of his company's plants. McCain's voice quivered as he announced its closure, apologized to the victims, and said that the people at Maple Leaf—including himself—were responsible and that it was his job to restore faith in the company.

His response is striking because it is so rare yet so consistent with research on how to fuel the illusion (and reality) that the boss is in charge. Unlike many people in such a predicament, McCain accepted the fact that he would be held responsible for what his people did, no matter what. When something important happens, the boss is expected to know. Rather than blaming others, McCain understood it was wiser to accept the blame and learn from it. Leaders who denounce outside forces for their troubles come across as disingenuous and powerless. By refusing to take responsibility, they implicitly raise a damning question: "If you didn't have the power to break it, how can you have the power to fix it?" The public also sees a boss's refusal to accept responsibility as a sign that nothing has been learned from the errors.

Bosses who ignore and stomp on their subordinates' humanity sometimes generate quick gains. But in the long run, such shortsightedness undermines creativity, efficiency, and commitment.

> If you as a boss want to enhance the perception that you are in charge and fuel performance at the same time—taking at least some of the blame is usually necessary. Experiments by University of Michigan professor Fiona Lee and her colleagues show that managers who take responsibility for problems like pay freezes and failed projects are seen as more powerful, competent, and likeable than those who deny responsibility. In another study, Lee's group examined stock price fluctuations in 14 companies over 21 years. They found that when top executives accepted responsibility for problems, stock prices were consistently higher afterward than when CEOs denied responsibility.

The key, though, is not just to accept blame and apologize. You must also take immediate control in whatever way you can, show that you and your people have learned from failure, announce new plans, and, when they are implemented, make sure everyone understands that things are improving because of them—just as Michael McCain did. Although no one can predict his company's ultimate fate, the Canadian press praised McCain for his clarity, compassion, and control. A nationwide survey in December 2008 showed that among Canadians, confidence in the Maple Leaf brand had risen to 91 percent, from 60 percent, since August of that year. Although the company reported losses in 2008, it returned to profitability in 2009. As McCain said in February 2010, "The packaged-meats business continues to recover. Our brands and our reputation are intact"<sup>3</sup>—an assessment most analysts and customers echoed.

#### **Bolstering performance**

Bosses who ignore and stomp on their subordinates' humanity sometimes generate quick gains. But in the long run, such short-sightedness usually undermines their followers' creativity, efficiency, and commitment. The best bosses focus on boosting the performance of their people through stratagems such as the three that follow:

#### 1. Provide psychological safety

Good bosses spark imagination and encourage learning by creating a safety zone where people can talk about half-baked ideas, test them, and even make big mistakes without fear of ridicule, punishment, or ostracism. I witnessed the power of psychological safety at a large media company where a new CEO was determined to drive out fear. A vice president had launched a magazine that ended up being an expensive, well-publicized flop. She would have been demoted and fired—and probably publicly humiliated—under past regimes. Instead, the CEO spoke at a gathering and congratulated her for her courage and skill. He emphasized that the decision to start the magazine wasn't just hers; senior management had backed it. After his speech, every executive I spoke with portrayed the CEO's comments as a watershed event.

An absence of psychological safety, in concert with fear of the boss, can be dangerous or downright deadly. Studies by Harvard Business School professor Amy Edmondson and her colleagues show that when nurses fear their supervisors will punish and humiliate them for making mistakes, they hesitate to report their drug-treatment errors. The hazards of fearing authority also emerge from research with commercial pilots in flight simulators. One study showed that when pilots faked mild incapacitation toward the end of a rough and rainy simulated flight, their copilots failed to take the controls 25 percent of the time resulting in simulated crashes. The copilots knew the pilots were incapacitated yet failed to question their authority. Dysfunctional

<sup>3</sup>John Spears, "Maple Leaf Foods returns to profit," *Toronto Star*, February 25, 2010.

deference can kill real flight crews and passengers too. In 1979, a commuter plane crashed, in part, because the second officer failed to take control when the captain, a vice president known for gruffness, became partly incapacitated.

#### Shield people

The best bosses invent, borrow, and implement ways to reduce the mental and emotional load heaped on their followers—and protect them from the incompetence, cluelessness, and premature judgments of fellow bosses or others who can undermine their followers' work and well being. Followers who enjoy such protection (and who may be bosses themselves) have the freedom to take risks and try new things.

Annette Kyle, for example, managed some 60 employees at a Texas terminal where they loaded chemicals from railcars onto ships and trucks. In the mid-1990s, Annette led a "revolution" that dramatically raised her unit's performance through a host of changes, including better planning, greater responsibility at the lowest levels, improved and more transparent metrics, and numerous cultural changes. She personally sewed "no whining" patches on workers' uniforms, for example, to discourage the local penchant for complaining and auctioned off her desk to workers for \$60 because, as she explained it, "I shouldn't be sitting behind a big desk. I should be contributing to team goals however possible."

This transformation virtually eliminated the penalties that were levied when ships arrived at the terminal's dock but (despite considerable advance warning) workers weren't ready to load them. These "demurrage charges," which cost the company \$2.5 million the year before the revolution, were down to \$10,000 the year after. Previously, it had taken more than three hours to load an average truck. Afterward, more than 90 percent were loaded within an hour of arrival. Surveys and interviews by University of Southern California researchers showed that employees became more satisfied with their jobs and felt proud of their accomplishments. I asked Annette how she could make such radical changes in her giant company. She answered that her boss shielded her from top-ranking managers—he found the resources and experts she needed but never discussed these moves with senior management until they succeeded.

Good bosses are especially adept at protecting their people's time—for example, by eliminating needless meetings. Take a cue from Will Wright, designer of computer games such as *The Sims*: rather than automatically scheduling meetings, ask yourself if they are really needed. Wright employed a clever trick. Every time someone called a meeting, he charged that person a dollar. Although he collected a lot of dollars, this requirement made people "think twice, even though it was only a dollar." He also used an employee-centered method to keep meetings shortinviting the creative but impatient artist Ocean Quigley, "the canary in the coal mine." When Quigley raised his hand to be excused, "we knew that the meeting had hit diminishing returns."

#### Make small gestures

The late Robert Townsend, CEO of Avis and author of the masterpiece *Up the Organization*, called the phrase "thank you" a "really neglected form of compensation." The broader lesson for bosses is the importance of "the attitude of gratitude," a line borrowed from Kimberly Wiefling, founder of Wiefling Consulting, who argues that too many projects end without acknowledgement and celebration and that whether a project succeeds or fails, the best managers take time to express appreciation. Conveying this attitude is especially crucial when the stench of failure fills the air—precisely the time when people most need support from the boss and one another. Bosses with the will and the skill to provide that kind of support set the stage for learning from fiascos. Unfortunately, too many bosses have the opposite response and use such occasions to conduct "blamestorms" or "circular fire squads," where the goal is to point fingers, humiliate the guilty, and throw a few overboard.

• •

Good bosses don't just get more from their people and do it in more civilized ways; they attract and keep better people. If you think your employees are deadbeats, downers, and jerks, look in the mirror. Why don't the best people want to work for you? Why do people who appeared to be stars when they joined your team seem to turn rotten?

Of all the skills and aspirations good bosses must have, self-awareness is probably the most important. Cornell University's David Dunning has shown that poor performers consistently overestimate their intellectual and social skills. In contrast, the best performers accurately judge both their strengths and their flaws. Dunning's research has crucial implications for leadership. The best and worst bosses alike suffer from overconfidence and insecurity, from weaknesses and blind spots. Such is the human condition. Yet the best bosses are keenly aware of their flaws, work to overcome them and to reverse the resulting damage, and enlist others who can compensate for their weaknesses.

The most effective bosses devote enormous effort to understanding how their moods, quirks, skills, and actions affect their followers' performance and humanity. They constantly make adjustments to be a bit more helpful and constructive tomorrow than they were yesterday. To be a great boss, you must constantly ask and try to answer many questions. Perhaps the most crucial is, "What does it feel like to work for me?" If your people answered this question honestly, would they say that you know the impact your words and deeds have on them—or that you are living in a fool's paradise? O

## Extra Point Tricks for taking charge

Stanford University management professor **Bob Sutton** says it's an illusion that the boss is truly in control, and suggests seven "tricks" for enhancing that perception:

#### 1

## Talk more than others, but not the whole time.

At least in Western countries, people who talk first and most are seen as leaders—the blabbermouth theory. But if you talk the whole time, people will find you a bully, a bore, or both.

#### 2

#### Interrupt occasionally-

and don't let others interrupt you too much. You can augment your power by winning "interruption wars" at key junctures in meetings.

#### 3

#### Cross your arms when you talk.

When people make this gesture, they persist longer and generate more solutions while working on difficult tasks. By crossing your arms, you send yourself a message to crank up the grit and confidence—but crossing them too often and intensely can make you look inaccessible and unfriendly.

#### 4

#### Use positive self-talk.

People who make encouraging statements to themselves enjoy higher self-esteem and performance. The most effective such talk focuses on encouraging yourself ("you've done this before") and applying specific strategies ("lean hard, now").

#### 5

### Try a flash of anger occasionally.

The strategic use of outbursts, snarling looks, and hand gestures such as pointing and jabbing generates an aura of competence in small doses with proper precautions. But spewing out constant venom undermines your authority and earns you a well-deserved reputation as a jerk.

#### 6

#### If you aren't sure whether to sit or to stand, stand.

This point is especially crucial for a new boss. Standing up signals that you are in charge and encourages others to accept your authority. Whether you sit or stand, place yourself at the head of the table.

#### 7

#### Surrender some power or status, but make sure everyone knows that you did so freely.

One of the most effective ways to show that you are both powerful and benevolent is to take a status symbol for yourself and give it to others. A CEO I work with had a huge corner office, but when he became aware of a space crunch, he moved to a much smaller space so that four employees could share the big one.